FILED VIA EFS ON

**January 29, 2010** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Plesko et al.

**Application No.** 10/607,591

**Filed:** June 27, 2003

Confirmation No. 5996

TYPE SYSTEM FOR REPRESENTING

AND CHECKING CONSISTENCY OF

HETEROGENEOUS PROGRAM

COMPONENTS DURING THE PROCESS

OF COMPILATION

**Examiner:** Thuy Chan Dao

Art Unit: 2192

For:

Attorney Reference No. 3382-64706-01

FILED VIA EFS

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** 

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Responsive to the Examiner's statements of reasons for allowance accompanying the Notice of Allowability dated December 29, 2009, the Applicants comment as follows.

Applicants agree that claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14-15, 17-21, 24, 27-29, and 31-37 are allowable over the cited art. To the extent the statements of reasons for allowance use language not identically appearing in the claims, Applicants respectfully note that the claims are not limited by such language, but rather the actual language of the claims speaks for itself.

Applicants also note that each of the allowed claims sets forth a separately patentable invention.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600

121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 595-5300

Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By / Mark W. Wilson /

Mark W. Wilson

Registration No. 63,126